

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,243 02/28/2004		Jun Yang	P0003/7001	8980	
21127	7590	10/31/2005		EXAMINER	
KUDIRKA ONE STATE		LLP		FAULK, D	EVONA E
SUITE 800	UIRLLI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, M	IA 02109		2644		
				DATE MAIL ED: 10/31/2004	<

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/789,243	YANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Devona E. Faulk	2644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma	arch 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>86-95 and 107-109</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>96-106</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>86-95 and 107-109</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2004</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	d to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex		Action of form F10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🗵 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/789,243 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

 The applicant's preliminary amendment was received and entered. Claims 1-85 were cancelled.

Election/Restrictions

2. During a telephone conversation with on 10/26/2005 with Therese A. Hendricks (Reg. No. 30,389), a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of processing an audio signal according to claim 93. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 96-106 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 91 and 108 recite the limitation "said means" in line 2. Claim 107 recites "said means" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claims 91,107,108 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 91, 107 and 108 all recite "said means for

generating to steer". The recited claim language is indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by said means and what is being generated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 86,90 and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pompei (EP 0 973 152 A2).

Regarding claim 86, Pompei discloses a method for steering a directional audio beam that is self-demodulated from an ultrasound carrier (abstract; Figure 1), said method including the steps of:

generating an audio signal (20₁, audio source, Figure 1);
generating an ultrasound carrier signal (18,carrier generator, Figure 1);
modulating said carrier signal with said audio signal (26, modulator, Figure 1);
adjusting both amplitude and phase of at least one of said audio signal and said
carrier signal to steer said audio beam to a desired direction(page 3, lines 52-56); and
generating an ultrasound beam driven in said direction by said modulated carrier
signal (abstract).

Regarding **claim 90**, Pompei as modified by Lu discloses wherein said step of generating an ultrasound beam includes driving a plurality of ultrasound transducer

Application/Control Number: 10/789,243

Art Unit: 2644

elements via a corresponding plurality of matching filters adapted to adjust the phase of the modulated carrier signal to the resonant frequency of the associated transducer element (Pompei, Figures 5 and 11, page 5, paragraph 0029, lines 29-34; page 9 paragraph 0061).

Regarding **claim 91**, Pompei discloses detecting the location of a potential listener and adjusting said means for generating to steer said audio beam back towards said location (Pompei, page 6, paragraph 0054, lines 45-50; page 9, paragraph 0063).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 87 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pompei (EP 0 973 152 A2) in view of Hussain et al. (US 5,911,692).

Regarding **claim 87**, Pompei fails to disclose but Hussain teaches of suppressing a sidelobe of an ultrasonic beam (See abstract). It would have been obvious to modify Pompei by suppressing a sidelobe of an ultrasonic beam as taught by Hussain in order to enable thinning (column 8, line 66-column 9, line 3).

10. Claim 88 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pompei (EP 0 973 152 A2) in view of Lu et al. (US 5,081,995).

Regarding **claim 88**, Pompei fails to disclose but Lu teaches of weighting an audio or carrier signal by a zeroth order Bessel function to synthesize a Bessel distribution source (Figure 7, column 4, lines 15-34). It would have been obvious to modify Pompei by weighting an audio or carrier signal by a zeroth order Bessel function as taught by Lu in order to produce a non-diffracting Bessel function, deep depth of field (column 4, lines 45-48; column 8, lines 22-24).

Regarding claim 89, Pompei as modified by Lu discloses wherein said step of generating an ultrasound beam includes driving a plurality of ultrasound transducer elements with said modulated carrier signal and said step of weighting includes adjusting gain and delay of said audio and/or carrier signal prior to driving each transducer element (Pompei teaches of a generating an ultrasound beam including driving a plurality of ultrasonic transducer elements (Figure 1) and Lu teaches of adjusting gain and delay; column 7, lines 37-47).

11. Claim 92 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pompei (EP 0 973 152 A2) in view of Zhang (US Patent Application 2003/0223554).

Regarding **claim 92**, Pompei fails to disclose but Zhang teaches of reflecting an audio beam from an intermediate surface such as a billboard panel (Figure 3, billboard ,600", page 2, paragraph 0039; page 3, paragraph 0041). Pompei teaches of various uses of the system including projecting sound to persons in a public setting (page 9, paragraph 0063). It would have been obvious to modify Pompei to reflect an audio beam from a billboard as taught by Zhang in order to relay information to specific area with minimal interference (Zhang, paragraph 0041).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,243

Art Unit: 2644

12. Claim 107 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pompei (EP 0 973 152 A2) in view of Cerofolini (US 5,740,804).

Regarding claim 107, Pompei discloses a method for steering a directional audio beam that is self-demodulated from an ultrasound carrier (abstract; Figure 1), said method including the steps of:

generating an audio signal (20₁, audio source, Figure 1);
generating an ultrasound carrier signal (18,carrier generator, Figure 1);
modulating said carrier signal with said audio signal (26, modulator, Figure 1);
generating an ultrasound beam driven by said modulated carrier signal
(abstract); and

adjusting said means for generating to steer said audio beam to a desired direction(page 3, lines 52-56);

wherein said step of generating is performed by means of a plurality of transducer elements (Figure 1).

Pompei fails to disclose but Cerofolini teaches of adjusting by means of a stepper motor for rotating transducer elements relative to at least one axis (abstract). It would have been obvious to modify Pompei to adjust using a stepper motor as taught by Cerofolini in order to produce a panoramic image along the area of interest.

Regarding **claim 108**, Pompei as modified by Cerofolin disclose including detecting the location of a potential listener and adjusting said means for generating to steer said audio beam back towards said location (Pompei, page 6, paragraph 0054, lines 45-50; page 9, paragraph 0063).

13. Claim 109 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pompei (EP 0 973 152 A2) in view of Cerofolini (US 5,740,804) in further view of Zhang (US Patent Application 2003/0223554).

Regarding **claim 109**, Pompei as modified by Cerofolini fails to disclose but Zhang teaches of reflecting an audio beam from an intermediate surface such as a billboard panel (Figure 3, billboard ,600", page 2, paragraph 0039; page 3, paragraph 0041). Pompei teaches of various uses of the system including projecting sound to persons in a public setting (page 9, paragraph 0063). It would have been obvious to modify Pompei to reflect an audio beam from a billboard as taught by Zhang in order to relay information to specific area with minimal interference (Zhang, paragraph 0041).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 93-95 are allowed.
- 15. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 93, prior art Pompei (EP 0 973 152 A2) discloses a method for steering a directional audio beam that is self-demodulated from an ultrasound carrier (abstract; Figure 1). Prior art Pompei (EP 0 973 152 A3) discloses a parametric audio system. Prior art Pompei (WO 01/52437 A1) discloses a parametric audio system. Prior art Redding (GB 2 121 174 A) discloses measurement of distance using ultrasound. The prior art or combination thereof fails to disclose or make obvious performing a square root operation on the audio signal to generate a square rooted signal; alternating

the gain of the square rooted signal between positive and negative gain values at selective locations to generate a flipped signal, wherein the selected locations of the signal are minimum turning points of the signal; modulating the flipped signal onto a first ultrasonic carrier wave; and offsetting the audio signal by a predetermined amount prior to performing the square root operation to ensure that the square root operation only results in real values. Therefore the prior art or combination thereof fails to disclose or make obvious a method of processing an audio signal as claimed.

Claims 94 and 95 are allowed due to their dependency on claim 93.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 571-272-7515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,243

Art Unit: 2644

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEF

VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
PECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600